

ECOMEDPORT WEBINAR

Organized by INSTM Tunisia 6th July 2020, 10:30 AM - 12:00 AM

Coastal sediment artificially trapped by infrastructures: the case of Italy

Paolo Lupino Association BEACHMED















EUROSION 2004 – Intervention strategies

- 1. Do nothing
- 2. Managed Realignment
- 3. Hold the line
- 4. Move seaward

eavall dunes vereinter wetland



MAIN STRATEGIES FOR APPROACHING COASTAL EROSION

Main Strategies to approaching coastal erosion outlined by the Italian National Board on Coastal Erosion on the basis of the EUROSION project











Quantitative Assessment for the Strategy H&M

How much sand is needed to satisfy a strategy based only on H&M approach?



















Quantitative Assessment for the Strategy H&M







From the erosion at the mouth of the Ofanto river, a beach advancement trapped by the over-billow piers of the Margherita di Savoia harbour, occurs.

A portion δ of the beach advancement must be used to compensate a portion of the total erosion and cannot be considered as a permanent and acquired advancement













Quantitative Assessment for the Strategy H&M Absolute Loss =

Apparent Absolute Loss – Relative Loss







Quantitative Assessment for the Strategy H&M

Loss Tipology	(Mm³/y)	Compensation arrangements
Absolute loss	6,6	Through external deposits
Relative loss	δ = 30% 2,9	Through coastal deposits
CC effects	1,30	Through external deposits

Target for trapped coastal sediments reallocation















	Depositi Litoranei - quantità media Mm3/anno				
	(movimentazioni autorizzate)				
Regione	Dragaggi portuali	Depositi alle foci o bocche lagunari	Depositi emersi e sommersi	totale Mm3/anno	
Liguria	0,044	0,001	0,019	0,064	
Toscana	0,084	0,020	0,186	0,290	
Lazio	0,078	0,020	0,050	0,148	
Sardegna	-	-	-	-	
Campania	0,044	-	0,026	0,070	
Basilicata	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Calabria	0,023	0,035	0,021	0,079	
Sicilia	np	np	np	np	
Puglia	nd	nd	nd	nd	
Molise			0,076	0,076	
Abbruzzo	0,025	-	-	0,025	
Marche	0,060	0,005	0,028	0,093	
Emilia-Romagna	0,018	0,032	0,082	0,132	
Veneto	-	0,109	-	0,109	
Friuli Venezia Giulia		0,087	-	0,087	
totale	0,376	0,308	0,488	1,172	



Quantitative Assessment of the coastal sediment extraction used for nourishment

Average of the volumes extracted and used for

nourishment

m3/year

Coastal Deposits

Deposits trapped by groins, piers ; 0,488 ; 42%

HYDRONOMI

Consulting Engineers

Deposits trapped at frontharbour ; 0,376 ; 32%

Deposits at river mouths ; 0,308 ; 26%













Beach nourishment

sources adopted in Italy

Comparison between Demand and sand extraction used for beach nourishment

Demand of sediments for beach nourishment for a full H&M Strategy (Mm3/year)

Loss Tipology	(Mm³/y)	
Absolute loss	6,6	
Relative loss	δ = 30% <mark>2,9</mark>	
CC effects	1,30	

INSTM

















